



**CITY OF AUBURN
PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE**

September 21, 2009

DRAFT MINUTES

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chairman Rich Wagner called the meeting to order at 3:00 p.m. in Council Chambers, located on the first floor of Auburn City Hall, 25 West Main Street, Auburn, Washington. Committee members present were: Chairman Rich Wagner, Vice-Chair Gene Cerino, and in attendance for Member Sue Singer, Councilmember Bill Peloza. Also present during the meeting were: Mayor Peter B. Lewis, Public Works Director Dennis Dowdy, City Engineer/Assistant Director Dennis Selle, Assistant City Engineer Ingrid Gaub, Senior Project Engineer Bill Helbig, Utilities Engineer Dan Repp, Storm Drainage Engineer Tim Carlaw, Project Engineer Leah Dunsdon, Assistant Planning Director Kevin Snyder, Grant Writer Michael Wilson, Finance Director Shelly Coleman, Project Engineer Robert Lee, Transportation Planner/Grants Manager Chris Hankins, Traffic Engineer Pablo Para, Assistant City Attorney Stephen King, Storm Drainage Technician Melanie May, Water Quality Program Coordinator Chris Thorn, Building Division Manager Rick Hopkins, Network Communications Engineer Colin Schmalz, Economic Development Manager Dave Baron, Citizen Wayne Osborne, and Department Secretary Jennifer Rigsby.

II. CONSENT AGENDA

A. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

It was moved by Vice-Chair Cerino, seconded by Councilmember Peloza, that the Committee approve the Public Works Committee minutes for date, September 8, 2009.

Motion carried 3-0.

B. APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO CONSULTANT AGREEMENT NO. AG-C-302 WITH BROWN AND CALDWELL FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE COMPREHENSIVE STORM PLAN

Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw was present for discussion. Chairman Wagner asked if the Comprehensive Storm Plan will be completed by the end of the year. Carlaw answered that it will be. Chairman Wagner asked if the plan will be available on Compact Disc and hard copy. Carlaw confirmed the plan will be available in both formats. Chairman Wagner asked if the plan will be posted online via the City's website. Carlaw stated it is the intent of staff to make the plan accessible online but staff needs to verify with Information Services that it is possible. Carlaw said there would be notices posted online regarding the updated Comprehensive Storm Plan and the new Surface Water Management Manual.

Councilmember Peloza asked if the Comprehensive Storm Plan covers the issues that are being discussed by the Lower Green Commission subcommittee. Carlaw answered the plan does have the Capital Improvement Projects identified in the subcommittee studies, which are located within the City as coordinated with King County.

It was moved by Vice-Chair Cerino, seconded by Councilmember Pelosa, that the Committee recommend Council approve Amendment No. 2 to Consultant Agreement No. AG-C-302 with Brown and Caldwell.

Motion carried 3-0.

C. APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO CONSULTANT AGREEMENT NO. AG-C-361 WITH BROWN AND CALDWELL FOR ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE ANNUAL NPDES SUPPORT

Chairman Wagner asked Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw to clarify what type of support is being provided by the consultant. Carlaw stated the consultant, Brown and Caldwell, is being used for support with both the Comprehensive Storm Plan and the NPDES Permit. The tasks identified on the agenda will need to be completed as soon as possible. Staff discussed the scope of the tasks and estimated the cost to be \$65,000.00. Carlaw said that staff may be able to complete some of the tasks and the additional funds are needed in the event additional support from the consultant is necessary to complete the permit requirements.

Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding the End of Year Report on the NPDES.

It was moved by Vice-Chair Cerino, seconded by Councilmember Pelosa, that the Committee recommend Council approve Amendment No. 1 to Consultant Agreement No. AG-C-361 with Brown and Caldwell.

Motion carried 3-0.

D. APPROVE AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO CONSULTANT AGREEMENT NO. AG-C-331 WITH ROTH HILL ENGINEERING PARTNERS, LLC FOR PROJECT NO. CP0762, LES GOVE NEIGHBORHOOD IMPROVEMENTS

Project Engineer Dunsdon reported Amendment No. 2 applies to both design work completed for Phase 1 and additional design work needed for Phase 2 of the project. Dunsdon stated that the design work for the addition of the waterline on F Street, completed as a change order in Phase 1 is also included in the amendment.

Chairman Wagner reviewed the budget status sheet.

It was moved by Vice-Chair Cerino, seconded by Councilmember Pelosa, that the Committee recommend Council approve Amendment No. 2 to Consultant Agreement No. AG-C-331 with Roth Hill Engineering Partners, LLC.

Motion carried 3-0.

III. ISSUES

There were no issues for committee action.

IV. DISCUSSION AND INFORMATION**A. ORDINANCE NO. 6266 AMENDING TITLE 15, BUILDINGS & CONSTRUCTION, OF THE AUBURN CITY CODE**

Chairman Wagner stated that the concern of the Planning and Community Development Committee regarding Ordinance No. 6266 was without a time limit for the number of extensions that are allowed, developers may take advantage by using part of the old City Code and part of the new City Code. Chairman Wagner reported that the Planning Department staff assured the Committee that would not be allowed.

Assistant Planning Director Snyder verified that extensions can be applied for at 6 months and following that additional extensions may be requested, following questions asked by Councilmember Pelozo. Vice-Chair Cerino commented on the Building Division's ability to review the requests for additional extensions prior to bring them to Council. Snyder reminded the Committee that the requests for extensions would need to be justifiable.

The Committee agreed the Ordinance should move forward.

B. RESOLUTION NO. 4528 AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO SIGN A FINANCIAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH BRECKENRIDGE CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.

Chairman Wagner discussed the interview process, which he participated in, for the consultant. Councilmember Pelozo asked if other jurisdictions have used the New Market Tax Credits (NMTC) as a source of revenue for projects. Chairman Wagner answered the Boys' and Girls' Club has recently gone through the NMTC financing process.

Grant Writer Wilson distributed a flowchart that diagrams the Community Center and Activity Center Projects funding. Wilson stated in order to initiate the NMTC process; it would be beneficial to use a consultant who has expertise in the alternative financial structure. Wilson described the process the City used to advertise and interview financial consultants.

Using the flow chart, Wilson reviewed the process by which staff intends to complete the financial plan for funding the Community Center and Activity Center. There are three major activities that are taking place concurrently that will result in completing the financial plan, project funding negotiations with King County and the Boys' and Girls' Club, the HUD Section 108 loan application process, and working with a consultant to secure NMTC funds. Wilson reviewed the Project Funding Task List and timeline for completion of the three activities with the Committee.

Grant Writer Wilson explained the allocation of HUD Section 108 Loan funds following questions asked by Chairman Wagner and Councilmember Pelozo.

Wilson provided a brief explanation of the concept of the New Market Tax Credit. The NMTC is an investment mechanism to provide for development within low income communities. Wilson stated the City will benefit from the NMTC because the Les Gove complex property is located in a low to moderate income census tract. There is also other City owned properties that would benefit from the NMTC. The process of submitting for the NMTC will take four to five months and a consultant is needed to put together the financial structure.

Grant Writer Wilson stated the proposed consultant, Breckenridge Consulting Services, Inc., has four years of experience with the NMTC and the last project, similar to the City's projects, the consultant worked on was a large YWCA complex in Salt Lake City, UT. Wilson said the consultant comes highly recommended. Wilson answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding other projects the consultant had worked on.

Councilmember Pelosa asked if there are other organizations that the City can work with in order to reduce the cost of hiring a consultant. Grant Writer Wilson answered the City is already working with the Puget Sound ESD and other entities to help manage the project. The amount for hiring the proposed consultant is approximately half the cost of other consultants. Wilson also stated that by working with other agencies and the consultant, the City hopes to avoid the additional expenses incurred by other organizations as they went through this process, such as outside legal fees.

The Committee discussed the funding sources and the benefit of hiring the consultant. Vice-Chair Cerino commented that a small mistake in the process could be detrimental and using a consultant with expertise in this area is very advantageous.

The Committee reviewed the agreement. Councilmember Pelosa noted on page 3 of 8 of the agreement, the telephone and facsimile numbers were incorrect and the address for City Hall incomplete. Pelosa verified the task sheet and flowchart would not be included with the agreement. Chairman Wagner recommended including the task sheet and schedule with the agreement and referencing the schedule in the agreement. Pelosa asked that the year be included on the schedule.

The Committee agreed Resolution No. 4528 should move forward to Council, with the recommended changes.

C. GRANT UPDATE

Transportation Planner/Grants Manager Hankins distributed copies of the Transportation Projects Funding and Grant Status spreadsheet and Grant Status Worksheet.

Hankins explained the Transportation Projects Funding and Grant Status spreadsheet shows the status of funding sources and updated project information that have been identified in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Capital Facilities Program. The spreadsheet identifies the total cost of the projects, outstanding funding needed, recommended funding sources, and the approximate date that the funds are needed. Hankins said the shaded projects have been updated since the last time the Committee reviewed the grant statuses.

The Grant Status Worksheet lists the projects staff is working on, the grant application due dates, the name of the grantor, the application submittal date, the application status, and the status of the project funding. The worksheet is used internally by staff to track grant status. Projects other than transportation projects are also included.

Councilmember Pelosa pointed out that the grant application submitted for the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant is something that the City's advocate in Washington D.C. could assist with. Transportation Planner/Grants Manager Hankins reported staff works closely with the City's advocate.

The Committee and staff discussed the grant for the Peasley Canyon Culvert Project.

Chairman Wagner asked if FEMA regularly awards Hazard Mitigation Grants. Transportation Planner/Grants Manager Hankins responded the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program is an annual grant and based on the type of projects that are funded there are two opportunities a year to apply for the grant.

Chairman Wagner asked how the grant funds that are applied for affect the projects that are included in the Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) and if the grant funds are considered when calculating project budgets. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle answered in the case of some transportation projects that are heavily dependent upon grants, such projects are included in the CFP because the grant is the main source of funding. In the case of utility projects, there are typically few grant funding opportunities available and they have other funding source. Therefore they are included in the CFP without grant funding considerations even though staff is aggressively pursuing any available utility grants

The Committee and staff reviewed the Transportation Projects Funding and Grant Status spreadsheet.

TIP #3: Director Dowdy answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner about when the last overlay on Auburn Way, 4th Street NE to 4th Street SE, was completed. Chairman Wagner asked which grants are available for funding the project. Transportation Planner/Grants Manager Hankins answered grants may be available through the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC).

Chairman Wagner requested that likely sources of grant funds be included on the spreadsheet.

TIP #5: Chairman Wagner asked if the State Legislature still has to appropriate the FMSIB funds. Mayor Lewis answered the funds have already been appropriated and a portion of the funds are in the current biennium budget.

TIP #6: Chairman Wagner asked if most of the funding for the project is from the developer. Hankins verified that to be correct.

TIP #7: Transportation Planner/Grants Manager Hankins answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding the King County funding contingency list and how the City will be notified if funds are available. Chairman Wagner asked that staff research if the railroad may be responsible for a portion of the project funding.

TIP #9: Chairman Wagner asked if there is any portion of the project that would be developer paid. Staff responded the area is already developed. Chairman Wagner recommended looking into a Local Improvement District as a funding source.

TIP #10: Chairman Wagner asked if the signal at the intersection is included in the project cost. Hankins answered the signal is separate. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding the ability to bypass traffic on F Street SE during the construction of the M Street Grade Separation Project.

TIP #11: Chairman Wagner asked staff to verify the total project cost since improvements are already being made from 4th Street NE to 8th Street NE.

TIP #13: Chairman Wagner asked that updates be made to include the improvements that are being completed through the Kersey III development.

TIP #20: Assistant Engineer Gaub answered questions asked by the Committee regarding the status of the project. The Committee discussed the possibility of adjusting the stop bars in the left turn lanes on southbound M Street SE at the Auburn Way South/M Street SE intersection.

TIP #21: Chairman Wagner asked what improvements need to be made to the West Main Street/C Street intersection. Traffic Engineer Para answered improvements need to be made to the signal, providing a left turn arrow for north bound traffic. Para reported staff is reviewing more cost effective options to improve the signal than those currently in the TIP. Chairman Wagner stated the priority of the project may increase due to the new truck route restrictions on West Valley Highway. Chairman Wagner asked that staff research if the intersection may now be eligible for Freight Mobility Funds.

The grant status update will be brought to the Committee quarterly for review.

D. SCADA STUDY UPDATE

Project Engineer Lee presented an overview of Project No. C524A, SCADA System Improvements. The Telemetry SCADA systems consist of three basic parts, the Remote Telemetry Unit, which monitor and/or control specific data, the communication media, which is currently leased phone lines, and Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA), the central command hardware and software.

Chairman Wagner asked when the system will be converted to wireless. Lee answered as soon as the improvements to the system begin. Utility Engineer Repp explained the benefits of the flexible communication media available with the updated system. Chairman Wagner asked if fiber is available at of the facilities included in the project. Communications Engineer Schmalz answered there is fiber available at some locations, but to provide fiber to all locations would require significant extensions of a fiber system. Schmalz discussed the safety issues related to a wireless system following questions asked by Chairman Wagner. Repp discussed the security protocols that apply to the different utilities facilities and stated staff worked with the SCADA Consultant to develop a flexible and secure system configuration.

Project Engineer Lee reviewed the cost summary and preliminary estimate with the Committee. The Committee and staff discussed the needs of the Auburn Police Department in regards to securing the facilities. Councilmember Pelosa spoke about an exercise he attended on securing City water. Pelosa asked if the water facility and pump station security had been recently updated. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle responded several security updates have been made at various sites and higher priority sites are continued to be reviewed for additional security. Utilities Engineer Repp stated the proposed SCADA System update includes logic control at the stations which would provide the ability for the stations to be self-protected.

Lee compared the existing system to the proposed updated system. Lee said one of the problems with the existing system is that all troubleshooting and upgrades to the system must be preformed by a vendor. One of staff's main priorities is to open the system up so it can be serviced by any qualified vendor or staff. Lee stated the old system uses a DOS type software platform and the updated system will be supported by a Windows platform which will allow for continual updates. The type of communication between the equipment and the control center

will also be improved, allowing set points of site equipment to be updated from the control center and remote laptops. The existing system is hardwired and only accessible at the Maintenance and Operations control room and the updated system will have remote features including laptop access via the internet. Historical data is currently only available through the vendor and with the updated system data will be staff accessible. Chairman Wagner asked who will dictate the reporting format. Lee answered staff will notify the vendor as to the type of reports that are needed. Lee described the multi-phase trouble alarms in the proposed system and how cameras will be used as part of the system.

Project Engineer Lee discussed the upgrade plan and estimated the project could be complete by 2011. Lee reviewed the steps leading to project completion.

Lee answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding the cost of labor included in the cost summary. Utilities Engineer Repp stated the project is utility funded. Councilmember Peloza asked if there are grant opportunities for the project. Repp answered that there have been no grants identified so far. Repp reported the project is fully funded and currently budgeted.

Chairman Wagner spoke about the upcoming review of the Capital Improvement Projects (CIP) and how that may affect the funding for the SCADA System Update Project. Councilmember Peloza stated it would be beneficial to review the cost savings of the updated system versus the current system. Chairman Wagner requested the cost savings be included with the CIP review.

The Committee and staff reviewed the schematics of the current system and the proposed system.

E. ORDINANCE NO. 6265 AMENDING CHAPTER 13.40 AND 12.04 OF THE AUBURN CITY CODE REGARDING FACILITY EXTENSION AGREEMENTS

Assistant City Engineer Gaub reviewed the proposed changes to the ordinance as highlighted and discussed the concern raised at the Planning and community development committee about whether or not conduits needed to be included in the payback section of the ordinance. Gaub stated that currently the City's telecommunication conduits are required as part of the street improvements for a new development. State law currently differentiates between utility paybacks and street paybacks for development and there are different requirements involved. Assistant City Attorney King said under State law there are two types of payback agreements, utility agreements and street agreements. Utility agreements are specifically limited to improvements of storm drainage, sanitary sewer or water systems. Street agreements can only be used for improvements required under City Code. The City can ask for the installation of conduit because that is part of the Streets Standards, but cannot do a payback agreement for anything beyond the baseline of the standard.

King answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding leasing conduit.

Chairman Wagner asked whether the Street Standards might be changed to require the installation of additional conduit. Gaub explained that the current standard is based on the telecommunications conduit being used for City purposes and requesting additional conduit above the conduit for City use may create a nexus issue and be beyond what the City can require of a developer.

The Ordinance will be brought back to the next Public Works Committee Meeting for action.

F. CITY OF AUBURN SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT MANUAL

Utilities Engineer Repp introduced Stormwater Technician Melanie May, who has been assisting Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw on the Surface Water Management Manual.

Utilities Engineer Repp gave a brief overview of the manual update. The State issued a NPDES permit to the City and one of the requirements of the permit it to develop a Surface Water Management Manual, or use the equivalent from the Department of Ecology. Staff reviewed the approved manuals available and selected the City of Tacoma manual to be used as the basis of the City of Auburn manual because it best fit the City's needs.

Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw stated the Committee will be reviewing proposed code changes that will allow the manual to be implemented in the future and explained how the implementation of the manual will affect the processes and standards the City uses. Carlaw said the City of Tacoma manual was more focused on urban areas, while the King County Manual was more rural, which is another factor that led staff to use the City of Tacoma manual. Carlaw and Utilities Engineer Repp answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner and Council Peloza regarding the similarities in soil and slope between Tacoma and Auburn. Repp said there are different parameters, i.e. soil, slope, percolation, however the methodology and calculations would be the same in both cities.

Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw spoke about the different thresholds the manual is divided into and how developers will determine when it is necessary to seek the services of an engineer, following questions asked by Chairman Wagner. Carlaw talked about the flowcharts that will be available to assist people on using the manual.

The Committee and Utilities Engineer Repp discussed the work that staff completed to make sure the manual will be as easy to use as possible and still meet the requirements of the NPDES permit. Repp stated other communities are working on the same issues.

The Committee reviewed the draft manual.

Page 10 – 2.3 - Floodplains: Chairman Wagner asked if there is a section on floodplains in the manual. Storm Drainage Engineer Carlaw answered developing in a floodplain is not regulated in the manual. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle commented that a Floodplain Permit is required and there are City ordinances that address developing in floodplains. Councilmember Peloza asked if there is a checklist for floodplain development. Staff said there is a checklist that is used.

Page 27 – 3.4.7.6 – Modeling Requirements: Chairman Wagner asked for more information regarding how designers decided which model to use. Carlaw stated the Western Washington Hydraulic Model (WWHM) is readily available from the State and many engineers already use the model at this time. There is also training for the model available. Chairman Wagner requested the email link to the model.

Page 53 – Appendix C – Hydraulic Analysis Worksheet: Carlaw explained the Santa Barbara Urban Hydrograph (SBUH) and how it is used for modeling, following questions asked by Chairman Wagner. Chairman Wagner asked about the accuracy of the SBUH. Utilities Engineer Repp answered staff can judge the information used in project submittals and its accuracy based on knowledge of the model parameters and calculations.

Page 311 – 1.3.1 – Design Storm: Chairman Wagner asked if the definitions of a 100-year Storm are changing. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle stated the figures in the manual are the most current published industry standard and when they are updated by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the manual will be updated as well.

Page 323 – 2.1.4 Bioinfiltration “Rain Gardens”: Chairman Wagner asked if the information in the manual corresponds with the recommendations from Low Impact Development, Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound. Repp answered the Department of Ecology did incorporate the Puget Sound work and research into their manual and that was the information that the City used. The Technical Guidance Manual is also referenced in the section. The Committee and staff discussed the soundness of the Rain Garden design and the possible need of making updates to the design. Repp stated the overall design standards in the manual provide a good place to start and are the best available at this time.

Chairman Wagner asked if staff felt the manual could be used to regulate outdoor storage of construction and other materials. Utilities Engineer Repp said one of the benefits of completing the manual is the ability to require people to store materials more safely and recommendations on how to do it.

Page 476 – 3.2.1 – Required BMPs: Councilmember Peloza asked staff to verify the web address in the manual is correct and leads directly to the schedule for the King County Household Hazardous Wastemobile.

Page 725 – 8.2.3 – BMP T1030 Stormwater Treatment Wetlands: Chairman Wagner asked all of the created wetlands could not be used as stormwater treatment facilities. Utilities Engineer Repp answered if a wetland that has been created or enhanced for mitigation, discharge of untreated stormwater is not permitted.

Chairman Wagner asked if there is a scientific specification for impermeability of geotechnical fabric. Repp answered under landfill design there are criteria for impermeability.

Storm Drainage Engineer stated the manual will be reviewed by the Planning and Community Development Committee on September 28th. The manual will be brought back to the Public Works Committee in November and needs to be in use by February 2010.

G. CODE UPDATE PROJECT – DESIGN STANDARDS

Traffic Engineer Para answered questions asked by Chairman Wagner regarding paint requirements for median curbs. Para stated that generally the curb would not be painted per national standards such as MUCD and ASHTOE. There is a setback from the edge of the lane line with reflective markers and then the median curb, as is standard in other jurisdictions. Para said if there was a visibility issue with a median staff would consider adding reflective marks on top of the curb. Chairman Wagner asked what the treatment would be if the median was part of channelization for a turn lane. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle responded that there would be paint striping along the side with a break for the channelization. In the case of special applications, different treatments may be considered. Traffic Engineer Para said there are also warning signs posted on the median, as noted in Section 10.15.1.1 – Median Island Design, Median Ends.

Section 10.15.2.1 – Traffic “C” Curb Design: Chairman Wagner asked if it is always possible for the C curb to not restrict the flow of street surface drainage. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle answered there can be gaps placed in the C Curb to allow for drainage.

Section 10.15.3 – “Pork Chop” Islands: Traffic Engineer Para stated restriction signs will be required on Pork Chop Islands, in response to questions asked by Chairman Wagner.

Section 10.15.5.1 – Crosswalks: Chairman Wagner asked if paint is allowed in some cases for crosswalks, rather than thermoplastic material. Selle answered the standard is thermoplastic but paint may be used in temporary situations. Councilmember Pelozo asked if thermoplastic material is slippery. Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle responded that the material is roughened and a reflective aggregate is added. Selle stated thermoplastic material is more durable and lasts longer than paint.

Assistant Director/City Engineer Selle reported the Transportation Chapter is near completion.

H. CAPITAL PROJECT STATUS REPORT

Item 2 – C504A – R Street SE Utility Improvements: Chairman Wagner asked for the status of the project. Senior Project Engineer Helbig reported the project started as a storm line replacement on 21st Street and the sewer line, water line, and additional storm work was later added to the project design and that the project is nearing the completion of construction.

Item 3 – CP0762 – Les Gove Neighborhood Improvements: Senior Project Engineer Helbig told the Committee that a change order will be completed on the project to add the waterline upgrade required on A Street NW between 1st Street NW and 2nd Street NW. During construction of the development, the existing cast iron waterline was found to be too shallow to allow the construction of the new roadway. Because this is an existing condition, the City is responsible for the waterline work, but the developer is responsible for the street. Assistant City Engineer Gaub stated the cost falls within the contingency fund on this project.

Item 10 – CP0743 – 2009 Arterial/Collector Crack Seal: Councilmember Pelozo asked if F Street SE, between 21st Street and 26th Street, is included in the project. Assistant City Engineer Gaub answered that portion of roadway is being included in the Arterial Patching Program, Project No. CP0907.

The Committee and Assistant City Engineer Gaub reviewed the Current and Upcoming Road Closures spreadsheet.

V. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Public Works Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 5:54 p.m.

Approved this _____ day of _____, 2009.

Rich Wagner
Chairman

Jennifer Rigsby
Public Works Department Secretary